

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/582,500		HITZEL ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	COURTNEY BROWN		1616	

All Participants:

(1) COURTNEY BROWN.

(2) Jennifer Branigan.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/C. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 1616

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Request for authorization for an examiner's amendment for the following:

In the claims:

In claim 5, line 4, "elevated relative to room temperature" has been deleted.

In claim 5, line 4, --- 30-55 °C --- has been inserted after "is".

Claims 3 and 17 have been canceled.